

From: [Eaken, Amanda](#)
To: [Timothy Papandreou \(timothy.papandreou@gmail.com\)](#); [timothy.papandreou@sfmta.com](#)
Subject: San Francisco Smart City Challenge Reponse - Draft - Eaken 1-26-16
Date: Wednesday, January 27, 2016 2:37:37 PM
Attachments: [San Francisco Smart City Challenge Reponse - Draft - Eaken 1-26-16.docx](#)

Tim,

Thanks so much for the opportunity to review. Amazing that you pulled this together so quickly! I provided detailed comments for about the first half, but seems like towards the end of the document it's still being written so I de-emphasized my review of that section. Also – Susan mentioned Balaji was working on another draft so I wanted to wait to see that before diving into the end. In many places, during my review, I was imagining this being read by some USDOT bureaucrat who is not steeped in shared mobility/autonomous vehicles and I want us to explain really clearly what's being proposed, so anyone could understand it.

One comment in general – seems like the overall structure could be clearer to let the reader know what's coming and what to expect, and consolidate some of the information that is repeated throughout. Maybe in the next version I can start to comment about shifting large pieces around.

I'm happy to continue editing as new drafts come available.

Best,

A